

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,268	12/09/2003	Perry Opin	Q73377	3324
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 07/27/2007 5550		EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVE. NW		WERNER, JONATHAN S	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	=======================================
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	pt .				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/730,268	OPIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Werner	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	əss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO oute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this common abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	April 2007 and 09 May 20	07			
	nis action is non-final.	<u>~</u> .			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·			
Disposition of Claims					
•	·	•			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are withdrawr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	i iloni consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement				
· ·					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	·	n received in this National St	age		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	it received.			
	•				
Attachment(s)	🗖				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date.			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	· .			

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Applicant's amendment received 4/13/07 and Request for Continued Examination received 5/9/07.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/13/07 has been entered.

Drawings -

3. The drawings were received on 4/13/07. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3732

4. Claims 1-2, 8-10 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christoff et al. (US 6,071,119) in view of Voudouris (US 6,168,428). As to claims 1 and 8. Christoff et al. discloses an orthodontic bracket comprising a base (22) attachable to teeth surfaces; a bracket main body (20) equipped on one side of the base; an arch wire slot (30) along a mesiodistal direction in the bracket main body; a Ushaped belt-like clip (32) having a catching end portion (34) which can cover up the arch wire slot when in use (i.e. see Figure 3); a guide portion (i.e. channel 38) formed in at least one of the bracket main body and the base, and which is operative to guide the clip as shown especially in Figures 3-5); a middle groove (i.e. a portion of the groove is shown as the spaced apart region between wings 26 and the other portion is shown as the spaced apart region between wings 28; Figures 1-2) formed on a middle area of the bracket main body along a direction perpendicular to the mesiodistal direction; and a wall portion (46) which extends upward from an edge of the middle groove and faces the cover portion (i.e. see especially Figures 2-5). Christoff fails to explicitly show a recess portion at the upper end part of the clip. Voudouris, however, teaches a similar orthodontic bracket which comprises a clip member for securing an arch wire to a bracket base, wherein said clip member has a recess portion substantially in a V-shape (i.e. 30B, Figure 1; 51, Figure 5) at its upper end. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include such a recess on the clip member in order to accommodate a tool to facilitate removal of said clip as taught by Voudouris (column 9, lines 38-39 and column 10, lines 29-31). As to claim 2, the orthodontic bracket's recess portion is a concave portion

Application/Control Number: 10/730,268 Page 4

Art. Unit: 3732

(Voudouris; column 11, lines 27-28). As to claims 9-10, the clip of Christoff has a contacting portion (i.e. 34), and wherein when the clip is released from the bracket main body (as shown between Figures 3-4), said contacting portion contacts the wall portion (46). As to claim 13, the cover portion of Voudouris does not cover the recess portion (i.e. see Figures 1 and 5). As to claim 16, the catching cutout portion of Voudouris extends from an edge of the upper end part of the clip (Figure 1). It should be noted that while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function and thus functional limitations are given little patentable weight in device claims, i.e. how a releasing position is regulated based on a position of the clip relative to the bracket main body. This rationale especially applies to claims 17-18, in which Applicant is claiming a statement of intended use, i.e. manipulating the clip so it does not come into contact with the bracket main body before it is moved to a releasing position, whereby such statements and other functional limitations are given little patentable weight in device claims since they do not impose any further structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the prior art which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires. For example, Figure 2A of Voudouris shows the clip is capable of not being in contact with the bracket main body.

5. Claims 3-7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christoff in view of Voudouris as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Damon (US 6,071,118). Christoff and Voudouris disclose the orthodontic bracket as

Application/Control Number: 10/730,268 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

previously described, but fail to show the recess portion comprises a convex portion that protrudes from an outer surface of the clip. Damon, however, teaches an orthodontic bracket that comprises a clip (30) with a recess portion that is a cut-and-rising portion (Figure 7) comprising a convex portion (37/39) that protrudes from an outer surface of the clip in a direction facing away from the bracket main body (i.e. Figures 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the recess portion as just described in order to provide an engagement means for a manipulating tool as taught by Damon. As to claims 4 and 5, Figure 7 shows the convex portion is formed at an edge of the upper end part of the clip. As to claim 6, the convex portion is shaped substantially in a half-spherical dome (i.e. Figure 7). As to claim 7, Voudouris shows a recess portion that is a V-shaped cutout (30B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to make the convex portion a V-shaped cutout in order to accommodate a tool to facilitate unlocking of the clip as taught by Voudouris. As to claim 12, Damon discloses a cut-and-rising portion which comprises a concave portion (38) connected to a convex portion (37).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,268 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Werner whose telephone number is (571) 272-2767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

7/19/07

PRIMARY EXAMINER